

1 ANTHONY P. CAPOZZI, CSBN: 068525  
2 THE LAW OFFICE OF ANTHONY P. CAPOZZI  
3 1233 West Shaw Avenue, Suite 102  
4 Fresno, California 93711  
5 Telephone: (559) 221-0200  
6 Facsimile (559) 221-7997  
7 E-Mail: [Anthony@capozzilawoffices.com](mailto:Anthony@capozzilawoffices.com)  
[www.capozzilawoffices.com](http://www.capozzilawoffices.com)

8 Attorney for Defendant,  
9 SHANA GAVIOLA

10  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 \*\*\*\*\*

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. ) CASE NO.: 1:22-CR-00233-JLT-SKO  
15 Plaintiff, )  
16 v. )  
17 SHANA GAVIOLA, )  
18 Defendant. )  
19 \_\_\_\_\_)

20 **NOTICE AND ORDER**  
21 **TO FILE EX PARTE MOTION**  
22 **UNDER SEAL**

23  
24 **NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that pursuant to Local Rule 141, Local Rules of the  
25 United States District Court of the Eastern District of California, Anthony P. Capozzi, as  
26 attorney for defendant, Shana Gaviola, has submitted to the Court a Request to Seal Documents,  
27 requesting the Court Order Ex Parte Motion for Shana Gaviola to be filed **UNDER SEAL**.

28  
29 Respectfully submitted,

30 Dated: June 18, 2025,

31 By: s/ Anthony P. Capozzi  
32 ANTHONY P. CAPOZZI, Attorney for  
33 SHANA GAVIOLA

1 **ORDER**  
2

3 Pursuant to Local Rule 141(b) IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendant, Shana  
4 Gaviola's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record and Request to be Appointed  
5 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A be SEALED until further order of this Court. It is further ordered  
6 that access to the sealed documents shall be limited to the government and counsel for the  
7 defendant.

8 The Court has considered the factors set forth in Oregonian Publishing Co. v. U.S.  
9 District Court for the District of Oregon, 920 F.2d1462 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1990). The court finds that, for  
10 the reasons stated in the defendant's request, sealing the defendant's ex parte motion serves a  
11 compelling interest. The court further finds that, in the absence of closure, the compelling  
12 interest identified by the defendant would be harmed. In light of the public filing of its requests  
13 to seal, the Court further finds that there are no additional alternatives to sealing the defendant's  
14 ex parte motion that would adequately protect the compelling interest identified by the  
15 defendant.

16  
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: June 18, 2025



19 STANLEY A. BOONE  
20 United States Magistrate Judge  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28